

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1953 OF 2016**

Smt. Heena W/o. Ghanshyam Patel .....Petitioner  
versus  
The State of Maharashtra through  
the Inspector Incharge, Dindoshi Police Station & ors. ....Respondents

Mr. Sushil Upadhyay i/b. Mr. Ashok M. Saraogi, advocate for the  
petitioner.  
Ms. S. D. Shinde, APP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &  
SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

**DATE : 5<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2017.**

**P. C. :**

Mr. Upadhyay, learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, stated that he does not press for relief claimed in prayer clause (a). So far as relief claimed in prayer clause (b) is concerned, the petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No.1 to forthwith take action against respondent Nos. 4 and 5 as accused in connection with FIR No.332 of 2011 registered under Sections 406, 420 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 including steps of arrest.

2. Ms. Shinde, learned APP, on instructions, stated that during the pendency of the FIR, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 were arrested and, thereafter charge-sheet is also filed against those accused. So far as respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are concerned, no charge-sheet is filed against them. The statement is accepted.

3. In the light of the above statement, the relief claimed in prayer clause (b) is also not maintainable. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)**

**[RANJIT MORE, J.]**