

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 5667 OF 2017

Rameshchandera Rambharose Gujar ... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Rakesh Singh for the petitioner.

Mr. T.T. Pandian for R. nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATED : JULY 07, 2017.

P.C.

Not on board. Taken on production board in view of the urgency.

2. For the relief to amend the date of birth in his service record, the petitioner has already approached the Central Administrative Tribunal at Nagpur. Since the petitioner has already availed the alternate remedy by filing the Original Application before the Central Administrative Tribunal, no case is made out to entertain this petition. Further grievance of the petitioner is that the Bench at Nagpur is not functional and therefore, the matter requires to be transferred from Nagpur to Mumbai Bench. Suffice it to say that

for this, the petitioner has to approach before the Chairman of the Central Administrative Tribunal for getting the matter transferred. Hence, No case made out to entertain the Petition which is accordingly dismissed.

(M.S. SONAK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)