

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 543 OF 2014
WITH
CROSS OBJECTION (STAMP) NO. 18721 OF 2014

Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd. .. Appellant
VS.
Smt. Nirmala H. Mehta & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. S. I. Shah for Appellant.
Mr. Bharat G. with Mr. Tejesh Dande i/b. Tejesh Dande &
Associates for Respondent Nos. 1 to 7.
Mr. A. R. Kamble – Manager (Legal) of Appellant present in person.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE : 03 APRIL 2017

P.C :

- 1] Upon production, taken on board.
- 2] Learned counsel for the parties hand in consent terms which are duly signed by the parties as well as their Advocates. The consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3] Some of the respondents are present in the Court. They state that they have signed these consent terms after understanding full scope and import thereof. They say that same is the position with the other respondents who have signed the consent terms but are unable to remain present today.
- 4] Mr. A. R. Kamble on behalf of the appellant is present today in the Court and he states that he has signed these consent terms in pursuance of the authority which is vested in him.
- 5] The Appeal and Cross Objection (Stamp) No. 18721 of 2014 are disposed of in terms of the consent terms.