

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 11472 OF 2017

The Chairman and Managing Director, Sairung Development and Promoter Pvt. Ltd.	}	
	}	
	}	Petitioner
versus		
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	}	
	}	Respondents

Mr. Surel Shah i/b. Mr. Prashant
Darandale for the petitioner.

Ms. M. P. Thakur-AGP for State.

**CORAM :- DR. MANJULA CHELLUR, C.J. &
N.M.JAMDAR, J.**

DATE :- JULY 3, 2017

P.C. :-

1. The petitioner claims to be the owner of 5 hectares 36 ares of land situate in Gat No. 391 very close to Rajiv Gandhi Information and Technology Park, which falls within Taluka Mulshi, District Pune. Apparently, in terms of section 1(3) of Chapter I of the Maharashtra Industrial Development Act, 1961, a notification came to be issued way back on 25th October, 2004 and till date, no further steps, in pursuance of such notification, came to be undertaken. The petitioner's grievance is that in the light of issuance of such notification way back in 2004, he has not

been able to develop his property in any manner being under the apprehension that the land may be acquired any time on account of such reservation or intention to acquire the land for the industrial purpose under the Act. According to him, when he approached the concerned Minister for Industries, State of Maharashtra, a report was sought from the concerned Department way back in 2014. In terms of the said report, a portion of the land of the petitioner is actually required for the formulation of a road in the industrial area and it would require only 25 meters width all through for the said purpose. In spite of such report, no action is being taken till date. Therefore, the petitioner is before this court seeking a final call on the representation made or further course of action on the part of the State.

2. In the light of the above factual situation, we direct the respondent authorities to decide the representation or application of the petitioner strictly adhering to the procedure and dispose of the same within a period of three months from today. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

(N. M. JAMDAR, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)