

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER (STAMP) NO.10342 OF 2017  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.10343 OF 2017  
IN  
APPEAL FROM ORDER (STAMP) NO.10342 OF 2017**

Shivram Developers ... Appellant/Applicant  
**vs.**  
Mrs. Shabab Bilal Parihar & Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Anita A. Agarwal for the Appellant/Applicant.  
None for the Respondents.

Coram : **A.A.Sayed, J.**  
Date : 24 April 2017

**P.C. :**

1 The order challenged in this Appeal is an ad-interim order dated 12 January 2017. The operative part of the order reads thus:

- “1. The parties or any person claiming through them are directed to maintain statusquo till filing of say by newly join defendants.*
- 2. The plaintiffs to comply order 39 rule 3 of the code in respect of newly joined defendants.*
- 3. The costs will follow the event.”*

2 It is seen that the direction of maintaining status-quo is only till the filing of the Say by the Appellant. In the circumstances, I am not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. Upon the Appellant filing

k

22 ao st 10342.17 with caa in ao as.doc

Reply, the trial Court to consider whether the status-quo is required to be extended and decide the Application expeditiously.

3 With the above said observations, the Appeal from Order is disposed of.

4 The Civil Application does not survive and also to stand disposed of.

**(A.A.Sayed, J.)**

katkam