

Jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3492 OF 2002

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 484 OF 2003

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3494 OF 2002

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3495 OF 2002

Dnyaneshwar Trimbak Rahanae	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
The Nashik Municipal Corporation & Ors.	...Respondents

Ms. Madhura Deshmukh, i/b Mr. Shriram S. Kulkarni, for the
Petitioner / Applicant.
Mr. Shekhar Jagtap, i/b J. Shekhar & Co. for the Respondent
No.1.
Mr. Manish M. Pabale, AGP for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM: A.S. OKA AND
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATED: 10th August 2017

PC:-

1. The learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner on telephonic instructions states that the Petitioner desires to withdraw these Petitions. She further states that written

instructions are awaited. She also states on telephonic instructions that the Petitioner has paid the taxes due and payable to him.

2. Accordingly all the above Petitions disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

3. Hence, the pending Civil Applications do not survive and are disposed of.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(A.S. OKA, J)