

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.106 OF 2016
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.147 OF 2014

Pradeep Datwani	... Applicant
<u>In the matter between</u>	
Pradeep T. Datwani	... Petitioner
Vs.	
Mansi P. Datwani	... Respondent

Ms. Naira Jejeebhoy i/by Mr.s Taubon F. Irani for the Applicant.

Mr. Pradeep J. Thorat i/by Mr. Prakash Mahadik for the Respondent.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 12th JANUARY, 2017

PC.

1 The learned counsel appearing for the applicant states that only prayer clause (g) survives for consideration which reads thus :-

“(g) This Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the immigration authorities to verify and report if the minor child has been taken out of the country from 2010 to 2015 and who accompanied the child on such journey;”

2 It is not the case of the applicant made out in this application that the minor child has been taken out of the country from

2010 to 2015. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent has shown passport of the respondent mother to the Advocate for the applicant. It shows that the mother has not travelled abroad during the relevant period. The contention of the applicant is that the child may have travelled along with other relatives.

3 In absence of any factual foundation in this application to the allegation that minor child has been taken out of the country between 2010 to 2015, such a fishing enquiry is not contemplated. Accordingly, we find no merit in prayer clause (g). Application is accordingly rejected.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)