

Atul

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 229 OF 2017**  
**WITH**  
**CIVIL APPLIATION NO. 311 OF 2017**

|                                     |                |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Safiya Begum Abdul Aziz Khan & Ors  | ...Appellants  |
| <i>Versus</i>                       |                |
| Alohasan Mohammed Shakil Khan & Ors | ...Respondents |

---

**Mr Atul Damle, Senior Advocate, i/b Sachin Kadam, for the**  
*Appellants.*

**Mr PS Dani, Senior Advocate, with PB Kulkarni, for Respondent**  
*No. 16.*

**Mr Sachin R Pawar, for Respondent No. 17.**

---

**CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J**  
**DATED: 17th July 2017**

**PC:-**

1. There is no reason to interfere with the order under Appeal. It is sufficient to note that, and this goes without saying, in any future dealings that the Respondents, and particularly the 16th and 17th Respondents, have in respect of the property in question may be made subject to the final outcome of the present Suit. Those Respondents are, therefore, put to notice that in any such dealings they are required to disclose the pendency of the present Suit.

2. The Appeal is disposed of with these observations. No order as to costs.
3. The Civil Application does not survive and is disposed of as infructuous.
4. The Suit will be decided on its own merits uninfluenced by the observations in the interim order under Appeal.

**(G. S. PATEL, J)**