

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.210 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.232 OF 2017

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.213 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.233 OF 2017

MR.MANMOHAN SINGH

)...APPLICANT

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Yashpal Thakur, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms.A.A.Takalkar, APP for the Respondent – State in Criminal Application No.210 of 2017.

Mr.S.V.Gavand, APP for the Respondent – State in Criminal Application No.213 of 2017.

Mr.Bhavesh Thakur, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 13th APRIL 2017

P.C. :

1 These are applications for condonation of delay in preferring revision petitions challenging the impugned judgment

and order passed in appeal by the learned Sessions Judge, Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Silvassa, on 6th September 2010, thereby confirming conviction of revision petitioner of the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, for modification in the sentence and amount of compensation.

2 Heard the learned advocate appearing for the applicant / accused as well as the learned advocate appearing for respondent no.2 / original complainant. The learned APP appears for respondent no.1 – State.

3 As the learned advocate appearing for respondent no.2 / original complainant has no objection for condonation of delay, the following order :

- i) Both applications for condonation of delay in preferring revision petition are allowed.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)