

Santosh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.1109 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1337 OF 2014**

Kailash Balkrishna Toraskar	...Appellant
<i>Versus</i>	
Mumbai Metropolitan Region Development Authority	...Respondent

None for the Appellant.
Mr Kuldeep S Patil, for Respondent No.1.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 29th June 2017

PC:-

1. None for the Appellant. The Motion was correctly dismissed. The Plaintiff came after a gap of eight or nine years seeking that MHADA should consider the documents for rehabilitation. By that time the structure has been demolished. There was nothing to show that he was in possession for all that time.

2. The Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

3. In view of disposal of the Appeal, the Civil Application does not survive and is disposed of as infructuous.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)