

Rane (sr.71)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
Civil Application NO. 605 OF 2017**

IN

Rejected Case NO. 2575 OF 2016

Shri. Yasin Mahamad Sayyad Kadri ...Applicant

Versus

Shri. Anant Bal Pilvalkar And Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Mahendra Agavekar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S.M. Railkar, Advocate for Respondent no.1.

Coram: N.M. Jamdar, J.

Date: 28 April, 2017.

PC:-

. The Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the Civil Revision Application ought to have been filed. The Second Appeal stands dismissed for non-removal of office objections. Since the Second Appeal is not disposed off on merits, the Applicant can always pursue its appropriate remedy. The question of delay is kept open. The Civil Application therefore does not survive. It is accordingly disposed off.

(N.M. JAMDAR,J.)