

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1139 OF 2016
IN
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 11584 OF 2001
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1140 OF 2016
IN
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 11584 OF 2001

Jehangir R. Irani .. Applicant/Appellant
vs.
Mrs. Zarina Rustom Irani & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Arshad Haindaday for Applicant / Appellant.
Mr. Vishal Kanade i/b. Mr. Raj Baid for Respondent Nos. 10, 12,
13 and 14 and heirs of Respondent Nos. 9 and 11.
Mr. R. R. Sharma with S. S. Das for Respondent Nos. 3, 5 and 6

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE : 14 MARCH 2017

P.C :

- 1] Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 2] These civil applications seek to bring on record the legal representatives of respondent nos. 9 and 11. The delay, in taking out such civil applications has been sufficiently explained. Accordingly, both the civil applications are made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).
- 3] The necessary amendment out to be carried out within two weeks from today.

4] Mr. Kanade, waives notices on behalf of the legal representatives of respondent nos. 9 and 11. As such there will be no necessity to effect fresh service upon the legal representatives of respondent nos. 9 and 11 in the main appeal.

5] Copy of the amended memo of appeal be supplied to all the respondents.

6] The civil applications are disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

Chandka