

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3538 OF 2017

Mahadu Laxman Chaudhari

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Sanjiv A. Sawant for the petitioner.

Mrs. R.M. Shinde, AGP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 24, 2017.**

P.C.

- . Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.
2. Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
3. Considering the averments made in the petition and in view of orders passed by this Court in similarly situated matters and also keeping in view the fact that the representation filed by petitioner on 21st March 2017, is pending with respondent nos. 3 and 4 and the same has not been yet decided, we direct respondent no. 3 and 4 to decide the said representation as early as possible, preferably within

six weeks from today.

4. While deciding the representation the respondent nos. 3 and 4 shall take into account the Government Resolution dated 1st March 2014 and the communication dated 4th June 2016 issued by Additional Secretary of Education, Department of Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya.

5. Petition stands disposed of in above terms with no order as to costs.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)