

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 39 OF 2011

Bharat Prabhakar Mhapsekar	.. Appellant
Vs.	
Mrs.Vrinda Bharat Mhapsekar	.. Respondent

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 161 OF 2017
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 39 OF 2011

Mrs.Vrinda Bharat Mhapsekar	.. Applicant
Vs.	
Shri Bharat Prabhakar Mhapsekar	.. Respondent

WITH
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 60 OF 2011

Smt.Vrinda Bharat Mhapsekar	.. Appellant
Vs.	
Shri Bharat Prabhakar Mhapsekar	.. Respondent

Ms.Shobha V. Shet, for Respondent in FCA/39/2011, for Appellant in FCA/60/2011 & for Applicant in CAM/161/2017.

Shri Bharat Prabhakar Mhapsekar – Appellant in FCA/39/2011, Respondent in CAM/161/2017 & FCA/60/2011 present in-person.

**CORAM : SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

04th DECEMBER, 2017

P.C. :

1. The appellant – Shri Bharat Mhapsekar has preferred Family Court Appeal No. 39 of 2011 being aggrieved by the judgment and order of the Family Court, Mumbai dated 10/01/2011 whereby the Petition of his wife Smt.Vrinda Mhapsekar for divorce and custody of son came to be allowed.

2. Smt.Vrinda Mhapsekar the then wife of Mr.Bharat Mhapsekar preferred Family Court Appeal No. 60 of 2011 seeking maintenance. For the sake of convenience, Shri.Bharat Mhapsekar would be referred to as the appellant and Smt.Vrindra Mhapsekar will be referred to as the respondent. The appellant and the respondent are present before the Court. Learned Counsel for respondent is also present before the Court. The appellant, respondent and learned Counsel for respondent state that the matter has been amicably settled between the

parties and they have tendered the Consent Terms which are marked 'X' for identification. The Consent Terms have been signed by the appellant and the respondent. Both the appellant and the respondent state that they have read the contents of the Consent Terms and they are correct and they have voluntarily signed the said Consent Terms. The undertaking given by the parties and the Consent Terms are accepted. Both Family Court Appeals are disposed of in terms of Consent Terms. The decree be drawn up accordingly.

3. In view of disposal of the Family Court Appeals, Civil Application No. 161 of 2017 does not survive and the same also stands disposed of.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.)