

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No. 3584 OF 2017

Ramesh Trimbak Hande
And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra
And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition No. 3585 OF 2017

Ashok Narayan Chavan And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition No. 3586 OF 2017

Anil Subedar Pavar And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition No. 3587 OF 2017

Pandurang Dadabhau Waghchaure And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition [St.] No. 6964 OF 2017

Shahaji Sitaram Dhumal and Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition [St.] No. 6965 OF 2017

Sambhaji Dinkar Zanje and Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

With

Writ Petition [St.] No. 6966 OF 2017

Sarjerao Jaysing Nanekar and Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

....

Mr.Sagar A. Rane, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr.A.B. Vagyani, Government Pleader a/w. Vaishali Nimbalkar,
A.G.P. for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.Pandurang Gaikwad-Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.6.

....

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.

DATE : 31st MARCH, 2017

P.C.

1. Writ Petition No.3584/2017 is on today's board. WP No.3585/2017, WP No.3586/2017, WP No.3587/2017, WP (St) No.6964/2017, WP (St) No.6965/2017 and WP (St) No.6966/2017 are not on board. At the request of learned Counsel appearing for the parties, same are taken up in the production board.

2. Heard Mr.Sagar Rane, learned Counsel for the petitioners, Mr. A.B. Vagyani, learned Government Pleader for respondents No.1 to 4 and Mr. Pandurang Gaikwad-Patil, learned Counsel for respondent No.6, at length.

3. Rule. Learned Counsel for the respective respondents waive service. Learned Counsel for the parties submitted that the controversy raised in these Petitions is covered by the decision dated 22.3.2017 rendered in Writ Petition No.1164/2017. In view thereof as also at the request and by consent of the parties, Rule is made returnable forthwith and the petitions are taken up for final hearing.

4. For the reasons stated in the decision dated 22.3.2017 rendered in Writ Petition No.1164/2017, present Petitions are allowed and the directions, as contained in paragraph-27 of the decision dated 22.3.2017, would equally apply to the instant case. The registration of the society in question as directed by the impugned order stands set aside. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs. Order accordingly.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)