

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.522 OF 2016

Arjun Babruwan Gaikwad. ... Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr. Tejas Hilage, advocate appointed for Applicant.

Ms. J.S. Lohakare, APP for State.

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J
DATE : JANUARY 9, 2017

P.C.:

1 Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant. Perused the report submitted by the Senior P.I. of Dindoshi Police Station. The applicant herein is an accused in Sessions Case No. 61 of 2014. Charge is framed in the said case. It is the allegation of the prosecution that the applicant had ravished his own daughter Ms.X, who was hardly 15 years old at the time of incident. The applicant is also being prosecuted under the provisions of POCSO. Taking into consideration the heinousness of the offence, the applicant does not

deserve to be enlarged on bail. Hence, the application stands dismissed.

2 This Court records appreciation for the efforts taken by the learned Counsel appointed to espouse the cause of the applicant. The professional fees are quantified at Rs. 1000/- to be paid to the learned Counsel for the applicant within 3 months from today.

3 Office to forward copy of this order to the applicant, who is in jail.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J)