

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

[1] Writ Petition No. 3561 OF 2017

Dilip Baban Masalkar
And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra
And Ors.

...Respondents

With

[2] Writ Petition No. 3574 OF 2017

Dinakar Shivaram Padale
And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra
And Ors.

...Respondents

....

Mr.V.H. Narvekar i/b. S.S. Kanetkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr.A.B. Vagyani, Government Pleader a/w. Vaishali Nimbalkar,
A.G.P. for respondents No.1 to 4.
Mr.Pandurang Gaikwad-Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.6.

....

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.

DATE : 31st MARCH, 2017

P.C.

1. Heard Mr.V.H. Narvekar, learned Counsel for the petitioners, Mr. A.B. Vagyani, learned Government Pleader for respondents No.1 to 4 and Mr. Pandurang Gaikwad-Patil, learned Counsel for respondent No.6, at length.

2. Rule. Learned Counsel for the respective respondents waive service. Learned Counsel for the parties submitted that the controversy raised in these Petitions is covered by the decision dated 22.3.2017 rendered in Writ Petition No.1164/2017. In view thereof as also at the request and by consent of the parties, Rule is made returnable forthwith and the petitions are taken up for final hearing.

3. For the reasons stated in the decision dated 22.3.2017 rendered in Writ Petition No.1164/2017, present Petitions are allowed and the directions, as contained in paragraph-27 of the decision dated 22.3.2017, would equally apply to the instant case. The registration of the society in question as directed by the impugned order stands set aside. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs. Order accordingly.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)