

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.97 OF 2015
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL (ST.) NO.6966 OF 2015

Mrs. Kalpana Ajit Kelaskar

... Appellant/
Orig. Petitioner

Vs.

Mr. Ajit Rajaram Kelaskar

... Respondent

Mr. S. Shamin i/by Shamin & Co. for the Applicant/Appellant.

CORAM : A.S. OKA &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

DATE : 27th JANUARY, 2017

PC.

1 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant. Office remark shows that the respondent is duly served. However, none appears for the respondent. Applicant has preferred Family Court Appeal for challenging the decree of dismissal of the Petition filed by her for seeking divorce. The averments made in this application have not been controverted by the respondent by filing a reply. Taking the averments as correct, existence of sufficient cause is made out. Accordingly, Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)