

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 301 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2017

Jageshri alias Jagi Umesh & anr. .. Applicants

vs.

Gautam R. Ashra .. Respondent

Mr. Anand Pai a/w. Mr. A.K. Gopalan i/b M/s. Haresh Mehta and Co. for the Applicants.

Mr. P.S. Dani, Sr. Advocate a/w. Dhanashree G. i/b Bilawala and Co. for the Respondent.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 07 AUGUST 2017.

P.C. :-

1] The impugned order is revisable under Section 34 of the Maharashtra Rent Control Act, 1999. Accordingly, there is no reason to entertain the present revision application.

2] Learned counsel for the applicants states that a revision revision petition will be instituted within four weeks from today. If the revision is instituted within four weeks from today, the revisional authority to show due consideration to the circumstance that this revision application had been instituted by the applicants to question the impugned order and the same is not being entertained only on the ground of availability of alternate remedy to the applicants.

3] With aforesaid observations and liberty, the present civil revision application is disposed of.

4] The civil application does not survive and the same is also disposed of.

5] All concerned to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)