

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 3697 OF 2017**

Shri Subhash Sakharam Gurav & OrsPetitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 3911 OF 2017

Shri Krishna Dnyanu Powar & AnrPetitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 3789 OF 2016

Pravin Prakash Mulik & OrsPetitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents

WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 9977 OF 2016

Shrikrishna Prabhakar Bandivadekar & OrsPetitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 10963 OF 2016

Shri Vishvas Nivrutti Patil & OrsPetitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents

Mr Abhijit V Desai, Adv for the Petitioner in WP No. 3697 of 2017.

Mr Chetan G Patil, Adv for the Petitioner in WP No. 1837 of 2016, 9977 of 2016, 10963 of 2016, 11519 of 2016 and 3911 of 2017.

Mr NC Walimbe, AGP for the State in all matters.

Mr SB Shetye, for Respondents Nos. 2 to 8 in WP No. 3697 of 2017 and for Respondents No.s 3 to 17 in WP No. 3789 of 2016 and for Respondents Nos. 2 and 3 in WP No. 4837 of 2016, 9977 of 2016, for Respondents Nos. 2 to 13 in WP No. 10963 of 2017, and for Respondents Nos. 2 to 7 in WP No. 11519 of 2016.

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, JJ.
DATE : 3 APRIL 2017.**

ORDER:-

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
2. Both counsel appearing for the parties submit, on instructions that the orders passed by this Court at the Aurangabad Bench dated 25th August 2015 in Writ Petition No. 9958 of 2014 along with group of Petitions deal with a similar issue which is subject matter of these Petitions. The concerned Petitioners in those group of cases were given opportunity of hearing, by quashing and setting aside the impugned orders.

3. After hearing counsel appearing for the parties and considering submissions made we are inclined to dispose of all the present Petitions also on similar line for the same reason in following terms:-

1. The impugned letter dated 2nd March 2016 is quashed and set aside.
2. The Respondent Zilla Parishad, Kolhapur shall give opportunity of hearing to the Petitioners as early as possible preferably within twelve weeks.
3. The Petitioners are at liberty to file additional representations of the documents, if any, within four weeks.
4. The Respondent to consider the same in accordance with law, twelve weeks thereafter and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.
5. All Writ Petitions are disposed of accordingly.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)