

since 4th November, 2006 Archana is missing. Her father Dagdu, who is the present complainant, have given complaint of missing of his daughter on 21st November, 2006 at Chatrushringi Police Station but still Archana is missing. On 4th February, 2012 one sale deed was registered in respect of same flat where Archana and Santosh has sold the said flat to one Manoj Chavan. The applicant/accused, who is the sister of Santosh, has personified herself as Archana before the Registrar, Pune. The photograph on the original Pancard of Archana and the photograph appearing on the sale deed which was registered on 4th February, 2012 is different. Moreover, Archana is missing since 2006. Hence, this Application.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that all the documents are before the police and therefore, there is no need of custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused. He further submitted that she is an illiterate woman and she has been used by her brother Santosh, hence he prays for pre-arrest bail.

4. Learned APP opposed this Application and submitted that the applicant/accused has appeared before the Registrar and she has represented herself as Archana.

5. I have considered the facts of the case and heard the submissions of both the sides. In view of the nature of offence, *prima facie* there is evidence against the applicant/accused and her custody is required in order to find out material details. Hence, Anticipatory Bail Application is rejected.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)