

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.119 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.398 OF 2016

Savita Sunil Patil)...Applicant

V/s.

Vivekanand C. Hiremath

Since deceased

Through his legal heirs Hema)...Respondents

Mr. Balwant Salunke i/by J.A.Madane, Advocates for the Applicant.

Mr. Chitan Shah, Advocate for R.Nos.1A to 1C.

Mr. S.V.Gavand, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 2nd MARCH, 2017.

P.C. :

Heard learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant as well as the learned Advocate appearing for the Respondent No.1A to 1C and the learned APP for the Respondent No.2-State.

2 It is seen that due to inadvertence, the learned Advocate appearing for the Revision Petitioner could not attend the Revision Petition when it was called out for hearing on

15.2.2017. It is seen that learned Advocate for the Revision Petitioner/Applicant was prevented by 'Sufficient Cause' in not appearing before this Court when the matter is called out.

3 In this view of the matter, application is allowed. The Criminal Revision Petition No.398 of 2016 is restored to the file.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)