

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.900 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.905 OF 2007**

Pimpri – Chinchwad Municipal Corp. ... **Applicant**
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... **Respondents**

.....

Mr.Niranjan Mundargi i/b. D.R.More, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms.A.A.Takalkar, APP for the Respondent/State.
Mr.M.N.Kulkarni, Office Superintendent, PCMC, LBT is present in person in Court.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 3rd MARCH 2017.

P.C. :

1 The learned Advocate appearing for applicant for both these applications, on instructions, submits that it is not possible to find out contesting respondent No.2 in these applications by stating that the concerned officer of the applicant Mr.M.N.Kulkarni is present before the Court.

2 The learned Advocate has placed on record the communication dated 01/03/2017 along with report of concerned

officer that the respondent No.2 in both these applications is not traceable. The said communication is taken on record of Criminal Application No.900 of 2007 and marked as Exhibit 'X'.

3 In this view of the matter, both these applications stand disposed of for want of prosecution.

(A.M.BADAR J.)