

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2450 OF 2017**

Miss Poonam Gulraj Karia

..Petitioner.

Vs

The State of Maharashtra and
Anr.

.. Respondents

Mr. Rajan K. Malkani, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Kankal, A.G.P for Respondent no.1.

Mr. Santosh S. Pathak , Advocate for Respondent no.2.

CORAM : R.G.KETKAR,J.

DATE : 03/05/2017

PC:

1. Not on Board. At the joint request of learned counsel appearing for the parties, taken up in production board. Heard Mr. Rajan Malkani, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Sachin Kankal, learned A.G.P for respondent no.1 and Mr. Santosh Pathak, learned counsel for respondent no.2 .

2. This petition challenges the show cause notice dated 11.4.2016 issued under Section 8(C)(2) of the Bombay Land Acquisition Act, 1948 and the Judgment and order dated 20.1.2017 passed by Appellate Authority and Addl. Chief Secretary (Protocol), General Administration Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai. By that order, the Appeal preferred by the petitioner herein against the eviction order dated 22.7.2016 was dismissed.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the parties have tendered the Consent Terms duly signed by the petitioner, respondents

no.1 and 2 and Advocates for Petitioner and respondent no.2. The same is taken on record and marked "A" for identification. Mr. Malkani states that petitioner is present in the Court. He has tendered photocopy of Pan Card and Aadhaar Card which are taken on record and marked 'B' Collectively for identification. Mr. Kankal states that respondent no.1-Controller of Accommodation is present in the court. He has tendered photocopy of Identity Card issued by Government of Maharashtra, which is taken on record and marked 'C' for identification. Mr. Pathak states that respondent no.2 is present in the Court and he has tendered his photocopy of Aadhaar Card & Pan Card and the same are taken on record and marked 'D' Collectively for identification. Parties admit and confirm the correctness of the Consent Terms. Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent no.2 submit that Petition may be disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms. Undertakings given by the respective parties may be accepted.

4. After perusing the Consent Terms as also the impugned order, I am satisfied that the controversy between the parties is lawfully settled in terms of the Consent Terms. In view thereof, Petition is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms at Exhibit A Collectively. Undertakings given by the parties are accepted. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim order stands vacated. Order accordingly.

(R.G.KETKAR, J.)