

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.102 OF 2017**

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.108 OF 2017

Satish G. Gore)...Applicant

V/s.

Mangala S. Gore and Anr.)...Respondents

Mr. Koostubh Marathe with Mr. Kuldeep Singh Khomare,
Advocates for the Applicant.

Mr. Pramod J. Pawar, Advocate for R.No.1.

Mr. Ameet Palkar, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 24th MARCH, 2017.

P.C. :

This is an application for condonation of delay in filing revision petition challenging the order passed by the Family Court, Pune on an application under Section 127 of the Cr.P.C.

2 Heard both sides. The learned Advocate for the revision petitioner argued that initially an appeal came to be filed before this Court challenging the order which is sought to be impugned in the revision petition. Subsequently, it was found that the appeal is not maintainable and, therefore, the revision petition

is filed accompanied by the instant application. The learned advocate appearing for the Respondent No.1 has no objection for condonation of delay. The learned APP for the State.

3 Considering the stated reasons, the applicant has made out 'sufficient cause' in not filing the revision petition within limitation and, therefore, the following order:

- (1) Application is allowed. Delay in filing revision petition is condoned.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)