

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 201 OF 2015

... Smt. Vimal Kisan Tapkir V/S Shri Maruti Patilbuva Tupe And Ors	...	Appellant RespondentS
--	------------	---

...

Mr.Sudhir Vinayak Sadavarte for the Appellant
 Mr.Ashutosh Kumbhakoni,Sr.Counsel i/by KRY Legal,for Respondent No. 7.
 Mr.Yakshay Chheda i/by ALMT Legal for Respondent No. 9.
 Mr.Tejas Dande with Mr.Akshay Tapkir and Mr.Niranjan Bhavke i/by Tejesh Dande And Associates for Respondents Nos.1 to 6.

...

CORAM : A.A. SAYED, J.

DATED : 17 APRIL 2017

P.C.:

The Appeal challenges the interlocutory order dated 21 January 2015 passed by the learned Additional Judge, Small Causes Court, Pune on the Application (Exh.173) filed by the Appellant/original Plaintiff. The operative part of the said order reads as under:

“ORDER

- (1) Application is partly allowed.
- (2) Defendants Nos.1 to 6 shall maintain status-quo from transferring the remaining suit properties as mentioned in plaint till next date.
- (3) Costs in cause.”

2. The impugned order clearly records that the status-quo was granted against the Defendants Nos.1 to 6 from transferring the suit properties till the next date.

3. In these circumstances, the impugned order being only an ad-interim order, I am not inclined to entertain the Appeal. It is disposed of. All contentions of the parties are kept open.

(A.A. SAYED, J.)