

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1143 OF 2008
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1144 OF 2008
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 4816 OF 2008

Nangu J. Verma @ Barwa .. Applicant
vs.
Ramajor P. Verma and ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Rupesh Lanjekar i/b Mr. A.G. Damle for the Applicant.
Ms Nazia S.A. Shaikh i/b Mr. P.J. Thorat for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE : 30 JANUARY 2017.

P.C. :-

1] Despite several opportunities, no steps are being taken to effect service upon respondent Nos.1 and 3 whose presence is necessary for deciding this appeal. Every time, either the Registrar (Judicial-II) or this court has been adjourning the matter in order to enable the applicant to take steps. However, every time, it is noticed that no steps are being taken. Even today, as if, as a matter of routine, learned counsel for the applicant seeks for some time.

2] This is a matter of 2008 and already, several opportunities have been granted. Therefore, no further indulgence is possible in a situation of this nature. The civil application for condonation of delay is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, the first appeal as also the application for stay are also dismissed for non prosecution.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)