

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1668/2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr. Manoj A. Patil for the petitioner
Ms. Kavita Anchan i/b. M. V. Kini & Co. for the respondent

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 10, 2017

P.C.:

1. Mentioned. Not on board. Today the matter is taken on board for speaking to the minutes of order dated 09.02.2017.

2. On page 1, para 3, the last sentence i.e. *"This itself shows that court has not passed any final order"* is substituted as under:

"This itself shows that a final order is not passed on final show cause notice."

3. Rest of the order shall remain as it is.

4. The corrected order reads thus:

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1668 OF 2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr.Manoj A. Patil for the petitioner

Ms.Kavita Anchan i/b M/s.M.VKini and Co. for the respondent

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 9, 2017

P.C.:

1 Not on board. At the request of Advocate for the petitioner, matter is taken on board for urgent orders.

2 By this petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India the Petitioner challenges the order dated 11.1.2017 passed by Industrial Court No.1, Kolhapur in Revision Application (ULP) No.2 of 2017.

3 By that order, Industrial Court directed petitioner to submit his explanation to the final show cause notice, if he desires. This itself shows

that a final order is not passed on final show cause notice.

4 The learned counsel for the respondent rightly raised objection that the petitioner has filed present petition which is pre-matured.

5 Considering the impugned order dated 11.1.2017 by which the court directed petitioner to submit his explanation to the final show cause notice, I do not find any reason to entertain the present Writ Petition at present. Petition itself is pre-matured. Hence, same stands rejected.

6 As per the request of the petitioner, one week time is extended to submit his explanation as per the impugned order to the authority.

JUDGE