

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 441 OF 2017  
(THROUGH JAIL)**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Pramod Bapurao Bharre    | ...Petitioner |
| Versus                   |               |
| The State of Maharashtra | ...Respondent |

Ms. Nasreen Sajid Khalique Ayubi, Advocate appointed for the Petitioner

Mr. H. J. Dedia, A.P.P for the Respondent-State

**CORAM : SMT. V. K. TAHILRAMANI &  
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.  
TUESDAY, 28<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2017**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard both sides.
  
2. The petitioner has preferred an application for regular parole on 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2015. It was rejected on 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2017. Being aggrieved thereby, the petitioner has preferred this petition.
  
3. It is seen that against an order of rejection of an application for parole, there is remedy of filing appeal. Hence,

we are not inclined to interfere and the petitioner is relegated to the remedy of preferring an appeal.

4. The second contention of the petitioner is that his father expired on 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2016. Hence, he preferred an application for being released on death parole. The said application was preferred on 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2016. Learned A.P.P states on instructions that the said application was granted and the petitioner was released on death parole for a period of one week. In relation to the second contention, no further orders are necessary. In relation to the first contention, no case is made out for interference. Hence, rule is discharged.

**(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)**

**(V. K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)**