

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2640 OF 2015

Praduman Singh Bhalla

..Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and Others

..Respondents

Mr. A. V. Bukhari, Senior Counsel a/w Ms. Manorama Mohanty i/b S. K. Srivastava and Co,for the Petitioner.

Ms. Neeta V. Masurkar a/w Mr. H. V. Mehta,for the Respondents.

CORAM :- **S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATE :- **MARCH 10, 2017.**

P. C.:

After having heard Mr.Bukhari, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, we have noticed that the Petitioner is serving in the Department of Revenue which is part and parcel of Ministry of Finance, Government of India. He is thus a Central Government employee and reporting to Respondent Nos.2 and 3. Once he is rendering service under the Union of India and issue raised by him is service matter

within the meaning of said term as defined in the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, then, the Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India need not be entertained. The remedy provided by that Act is complete. The Petitioner ought to realize that this Court exercises supervisory jurisdiction over the Central Administrative Tribunal. In such circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed off on the ground that the Petitioner has alternate efficacious remedy.

(B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.) (S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)