

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4339 OF 1986

Sagar Tatoba Devatale	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra and ors.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3486 OF 1988

Smt. Sujata D. ThakurDesai	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
The University of Poona and anr.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1971 OF 1990

Suresh Gangadhar Dharasurkar	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra and ors.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2636 OF 1994

Murari Govind Salvi	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
State of Maharashtra and ors.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 680 OF 1995

Mrs.Dhanashree Pramod Sawant	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
Vidhavikas Education Societies and ors.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1712 OF 1996

Parshuram Education Society	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
Ujwala Pradeep Ipte and ors.	.. Respondents

ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2436 OF 1997

Nalanda Welfare Association and anr.	.. Petitioners
Vs.	
Smt.Pushpa Bhimrao Wagh and ors.	.. Respondents

...

None for the Petitioners.
Mrs.K.R.Kulkarni, AGP for the State.

(No R & P)

...
**CORAM : A.A. SAYED &
M.S.KARNIK,JJ.**
DATED : 28 JUNE 2017

P.C.:

In the above matters the following order was passed on 19 June 2017:

- “1. Some of the above Writ Petitions were listed on Board last week. It appears that the papers in the above Petitions are not yet traced out. Office remark also shows that the matter is “under search”. Registry has filed a submission that the papers could not be traced out and they are making efforts to search them.
2. The Petitions are very old. Advocates for the Petitioners in all the above Petitions to take steps to reconstruct the proceedings.
3. In the event no steps are taken, list the Petitions under the caption 'for dismissal' on the final hearing board on 27/06/2017.
4. In the event the steps are taken to reconstruct the proceedings, list the Petitions under the caption 'for directions' on 27/06/2017.”

2. The Petitions are listed under the caption “for dismissal”. None, however, appears for the Petitioners. The Court is informed by the Sheristedar that no steps have been taken by the Advocate for the Petitioners to reconstruct the proceedings. Hence, the Petitions are dismissed. Rule to stand discharged.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(A.A.SAYED, J.)