

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1185 OF 2017**

Priyanka Raosaheb Gaidhani }	Petitioner
versus	
Staff Selection Commission }	
and Anr. }	Respondents

Mr. Pravartak Pathak for the petitioner.

Ms. Neeta Masurkar with Mr. A. A. Garge
for the respondents.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.
DATED :- JANUARY 27, 2017**

P.C. :-

1. It is conceded by Mr. Pathak, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner has an alternate and equally efficacious remedy of approaching the Central Administrative Tribunal by invoking section 14 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. It is conceded that the Staff Selection Board selects and appoints candidates on civil post under the Union. In these circumstances, accepting the preliminary objection of the respondents' advocate, we dispose of this writ petition on the ground that the petitioner has an alternate and equally efficacious remedy of approaching the tribunal.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)