

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.187 OF 2017***

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Suraj Mohan Pujari       | ...Applicant  |
| Versus                   |               |
| The State of Maharashtra | ...Respondent |

Mr.Satyavrat Joshi, for the Applicant.

Ms.S.S.Kaushik, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

HC – 1392 Sayyed, Bharti Vidyapeeth, Pune.

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.***

***DATE : 3<sup>rd</sup> APRIL, 2017***

**P.C. :**

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the Respondent - State.
2. By this application, the Applicant seeks his enlargement on bail in connection with C.R.No.45 of 2016 registered with the Bharti Vidyapeeth Police Station, Pune, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 302, 341, 323, 324, r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 37(1)(3) r/w 135 of the Bombay Police Act.

3. Perused the papers. There are 3 eye-witnesses to the incident of assault. Out of the 3 eye-witnesses, 2 eye-witnesses have named the applicant. According to the statements of the eye-witnesses, the applicant assaulted Vikas with wooden log. The postmortem report shows that deceased had died due to 'head injury' and that the deceased had sustained as many as 22 injuries on his person.

4. Considering the material on record, prima-facie, this is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant on bail.

5. Hence, the Application for bail is rejected and disposed of as such.

6. It is made clear that the observations made herein are prima facie for deciding the aforesaid application, and the learned Judge shall decide the case on its own merits, in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

***(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)***