sas

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.39 OF 2017
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.605 OF 2016

Dineshchandra Jethalal Joshi ..Applicant.
V/s.
Kailash Eknath Gavli ..Respondent.

Mr.B.K.Raje for the Applicant.
Mr.Vinay Dubey i/b. Mr.Rahul Kadam for the Respondent.

CORAM : N.M.Jamdar, J.

DATED : 23 January, 2017

P.C. :-

Not on board. Taken on production board by way of praecipe.

2. By this application, extension is sought of 8 weeks. By order dated 6 December, 2016 after hearing the Applicant, at his request, 8 weeks time was granted. At that time, that request was opposed by the learned counsel for the Respondent, therefore, such extension cannot be casually granted. The Applicant already had

two months time which is sufficient enough to contest the matter further. In the circumstances, I am of the opinion that the only indulgence that can be granted to the Applicant is to continue the ad-interim order for a period of three weeks from today. Order accordingly. Civil Application is disposed of.

(N.M.Jamdar, J.)