

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2017

Santaji Jankiram Gaikwad	...	Applicant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Mr.Amrish R. Salunke,Advocate for the applicant.
Mrs. P.P.Shinde,APP, for the State.

CORAM: SMT.SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.
DATE : 20th February, 2017.

P.C.

The learned counsel for the applicant, after arguing for some time, upon instructions, seeks liberty to withdraw the application. Liberty as prayed for is granted in the interest of justice. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

2. The learned APP submits that in the present case, charge is framed and witness summons have been issued. According to the learned APP, this is a case of direct evidence and the material witnesses would be only four eye-witnesses. In view of this, the learned Sessions Judge seized with Sessions case No.368 of 2014 shall make every endeavour to conclude the recording of evidence, as far as possible, within nine months after the conclusion of recording of evidence of first witness. The learned Sessions

Judge shall not grant any unwarranted adjournment either to the prosecutino or to the defence. The learned Sessions Judge shall also ensure that the accused/applicant is produced before the Court on each and every date, failing which the learned Sessions Judge shall take appropriate action.

3. Office to communicate this order to the concerned Court forthwith.

(SMT. SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.)