

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL SIDE APPELLATE JURISDICTION****REVIEW PETITION NO 95 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4902 OF 2016**

The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Dist. Pune ...Petitioner

VS.

Smt. Sadhana Dattatraya Sandbhor
and Ors. ...Respondents

**ALONG WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO 96 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4904 OF 2016**

The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Dist. Pune ...Petitioner

VS.

Ms.Suvarna Dattatraya Sandbhor
and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Mihir Raut for the Petitioners.

Mr. A.R. Kapadnis a/w Mr. S.R. Phanse for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Sandeep Babar, AGP for Respondent no. 3 State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
P.D. NAIK, JJ.
(IN CHAMBERS)
JULY 28, 2017**

P.C.:

Review Petition No.96 of 2017 not on board. Taken on board
and heard together.

2. By these review petitions, the applicant is seeking review of
the order dated 13th December, 2016 passed by this Court. It has

been stated in the Review Petitions that this Court decided the writ petitions taking into consideration the decision in the case of Lilabai Vasant Talekar Vs. C.E.O. Zilla Parishad, Pune, Writ Petition No. 5779 of 2014 of which Review has been filed. However, learned counsel for the writ petitioner submits that the said review petition has also been dismissed on merits vide order dated 22.2.2012 passed in the review petition (St) No. 13748 of 2015. Even otherwise, we find that no case is made out to review the order passed by this Court. It is well settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is extremely limited and unless the case is made out for invoking the said jurisdiction no interference is called for. It is also to be noted that in the identical circumstances, review was sought of the orders passed in WP No. 4857 of 2016 which also was dismissed on 16.3.2017 in Review Petition No. 52 of 2017. In the circumstances, we dismiss both the review petitions.

(P.D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)