

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1654 OF 2016**

**Shri Dilip Balwantrao Naik.**

**..Petitioner.**

**Vs.**

**The Managing Director & ors.**

**..Respondents.**

**Mr. A.P. Vanarse, advocate for the Petitioner.**

**Mr. K.S. Bapat i/b. Mr. A.H. Fatangare, advocate for the Respondent No. 1.**

**Mr. S.L. Babar, AGP for State.**

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &  
SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV, JJ  
DATE : 21<sup>ST</sup> AUGUST, 2017**

**P.C.**

1           Mr. Vanarse, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks leave to amend the Petition so as to add prayer clause to direct the Respondent No. 1 to decide the Petitioner's representation dated 8/12/2015. Leave granted. Necessary amendment shall be carried out forthwith.

2           Mr. Vanarse, learned Counsel on the instructions makes a statement that the Petitioner does not press all reliefs prayed in this petition and restrict his petition to prayer clause (aa). Statement is accepted.

3           The prayer clause (aa) is about direction to the respondent No. 1

to decide the Petitioner's representation dated 8/12/2015. In that view of the matter, we deem it convenient to dispose of this petition by directing the Respondent No. 1 to decide the said representation dated 8/12/2015 as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Ordered accordingly.

4           It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter. All points and contentions of the respective parties are kept open. The Respondent No. 1 shall decide the representation independently, on its own merits and in accordance with law.

[SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV,J]

[RANJIT MORE, J]