

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 924 OF 2014

Smt. Anuradha V. Deshpande & Ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra
through the Secretary,
Education Department & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1598 OF 2016**

Sunil Atmaram Bhandvaldar & Ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra
through the Secretary,
Education Department & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 7168 OF 2016**

Sanjay Raghunath Chavan & Ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra
through the Secretary,
Education Department & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 12983 OF 2016**

Hanmant Daulat Yadav & Ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra
through the Secretary,
Education Department & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Vishal V. Kanade a/w Mr. Satish S. Raut for the Petitioners in all the Writ Petitions.

Ms. Rupali Shinde, A.G.P. for State in all Writ Petitions.

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, JJ.**

DATE : 3rd MAY, 2017.

FINAL ORDER :

1 Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2 Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by the consent of the parties.

3 Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners has submitted that the Petitioners' case is covered and governed by the judgments/orders passed by this Court including order dated 26.04.2012 in Writ Petition No.2897 of 2012 and order dated 26.03.2015 Writ Petition No.922 of 2014 and therefore, submitted to grant the same relief as the Petitioners also belong to the category of non-teaching staff. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners has pointed out that the Petitioners are similarly situated in every aspect so are the averments made along with the similar prayers. Therefore, for the reasons so recorded in those judgments,

we are inclined to allow Writ Petitions for the same reason in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c).

4 The submission is made that some of the Petitioners are retiring, therefore, we are inclined to observe that Respondents to consider the said cases as early as possible and preferably within four months.

5 All the Writ Petitions are allowed in view of above. Rule is made absolute accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)