

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.275 OF 2017

Apurva Bhagwat Jagtap

Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and another

Respondents

Mr.Vaibhav Ugle for Petitioner.

Mr.R.V.Govilkar and Mr.Mihir Govilkar for Respondent no.3.

Ms.Nisha Mehra, AGP, for Respondent no.1.

CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR AND
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 28th February 2017

PC :

1. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner on the question of admission.

2. By filing this petition, the Petitioner is seeking a direction to the Respondent to consider the answer of the Petitioner in respect of question no.2(f) and allot proportionate marks to correct answer given by the Petitioner. According to the Petitioner, he has given correct answers to question no.2(f), still no marks have been awarded to him.

3. Question No.2(f) reads thus :

"Define Ratna and describe the Ratna-Graha Sambandha"

4. Answers to question no.2(f) reads thus :

Ravi	Manikya
Som	Mauktik
Mangal	Praval
Budh	Pushkraj
Guru	Heera
Shukra	Tarkshya
Shani	Neelam
Rahu	Gomed
Ketu	Vairgya

5. Thus, apparently the Petitioner has neither defined 'Ratna' nor described 'Ratna Graha Sambandh'. The corresponding answers given to various planets is also not correct. In the circumstances, when correct definition and description is not answered by the Petitioner for question no.2(f), in our considered view, the examiner has committed no error in granting zero marks to the Petitioner for question no.2(f).

6. As a result, the petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)