

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 588 OF 2015

Dr. Chetana Deshmukh ... Petitioner
V/s.
Maharashtra Public Service Commission
through its Secretary & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Vaibhav R. Gaikwad for the Petitioner.
Mr. A.I. Patel, A.G.P. for the M.P.S.C.
Ms. Kavita Solanke, A.G.P. for the State.

CORAM : A.A. SAYED & MANISH PITALE, JJ.

DATE : 4th OCTOBER, 2017.

P.C. :

1 The learned A.G.P. has pointed out that the Writ
Petition is not maintainable and the Petitioner would have to
approach the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. He states that
even otherwise the Petitioner's grievance has been redressed since
she has already been appointed as Nayab Tahsildar. The learned
Counsel for the Petitioner states that he has no instructions in the
matter.

2 Having regard to the fact that the Petitioner has already been appointed as Nayab Tahsildar, her grievance seems to have been redressed.

3 In the circumstances, Writ Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

(A.A. SAYED, J.)