

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 26 OF 2017

Prashant Sharad Mulekar.	... Applicant.
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra.	... Respondent.

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 26 OF 2017**

The Asstt. Director, Directorate of Enforcement.	... Intervenor.
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN	
Prashant Sharad Mulekar.	... Applicant.
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra.	... Respondent.

...

Mr. Rajiv Patil, Sr. Counsel i/b. Mr. Randhir A. Kale, advocate for Applicant.

Mr. A.P. Mundargi, Sr. Counsel a/w. Mr. Pranav Badheka a/w. Ms. Swati Singh i/b. AZB and Partners, advocate for aggrieved party.

Mrs. P.P. Shinde, APP for State.

**CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J
DATE : FEBRUARY 7, 2017**

P.C.:

1 Learned Sr. Counsel for the applicant upon instructions seeks liberty to withdraw the application. Liberty as prayed for is granted in the interest of justice with further liberty to approach the Court of Metropolitan Magistrate, 47th Court, Esplanade, Mumbai seeking same relief of grant of temporary bail as the learned APP has pointed out that the applicant had not approached the trial court on the said ground.

2 In view of this, application stands dismissed as withdrawn. However, the learned Magistrate is requested to consider the application on its own merits without being influenced by the withdrawal of this application. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of accordingly.

3 The intervention application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J)