

Nalawade

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.368 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.2937 OF 2011

Shri.Sheriar Rustom Irani nd Ors. ...Applicants.

vs.

Mr.Ramesh Y. Kavediya and Ors. ...Respondents.

.....

Mr.Amol Gatne for the Applicant.

Ms. Kavita Shinde i/by Mr. Ashok Tajane for Respondent No.2.

Mr.N.C.Walimbe, AGP. for the State.

Mr. Siddesh Pilankar i/by Uday Warunjikar for the Petitioner.

.....

CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
A.S. GADKARI, JJ.

DATE : 17th February, 2017

PC:

The applicants are seeking their impleadment in the instant petition for the reason that the issue raised in the instant petition is relevant for determination of the controversy in Civil Revision Application presented by applicants. The CRA relates to different property and parties are also different. Merely because the issue being agitated in the instant petition has some relevance to the litigation presented by the petitioners, the applicants cannot be permitted

to claim their impleadment in the instant matter. Admittedly, the applicants have no concern with the present petition or the subject matter involved in the petition, nor the applicants have any concern with the parties to the writ petition. Application is devoid of any merits and as such, stands rejected.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)

(R.M. BORDE, J.)