

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 866 OF 2017

RAJESH VISHRAM SAWAL.,

... Petitioner

Versus

**THE DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF
PANCHAYATS, PANAJI AND 2 ORS.,**

... Respondents

Mr. S. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Chaitanya Prakash Padgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Neha Umesh Kholkar, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Rajneesh Naik, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

Mr. Galileo Teles, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 15th November 2017

P.C:

Heard Mr. Lotlikar, the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Government Advocate for the respondent no. 1, Mr. Naik, the learned Counsel for the respondent no. 2 and Mr. Teles, the learned Counsel for the respondent no. 3.

2. By consent of parties, the petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

(a) The impugned order dated 25.07.2017, passed by the learned District Judge in Civil Revision Application No. 70/2015

and the Resolution No. 3(24) adopted in the meeting dated 15.05.2015 by the respondent no. 2-Village Panchayat, are hereby set aside.

(b) The respondent no. 3 shall file an application for cancellation of the house tax registration in the name of the petitioner afresh, before the respondent no. 2 within a period of one week from today.

(c) On such an application being filed, the respondent no. 2-Village Panchayat shall pass appropriate orders after hearing the petitioner and the respondent no. 3, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks thereafter.

(d) In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV