

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.834 OF 2017

Shekhar M. Prabhudesai & Anr. Petitioners.
Versus
The Commissioner, Corporation of City
of Panaji & Anr. Respondents.

Mr. Abhijeet Kamat & Simoes Kher C John, Advocates for the
Petitioners.

Mr. A.D. Bhobe & Ms. S. Bhobe, Advocates for Respondent No.1.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 30 October 2017.

P.C.:

Heard learned Counsel for the parties. In view of the
order proposed to be passed, it is not necessary to issue notice to
respondent No.2.

2. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the
Respondent-Authority has not taken any action pursuant to the
complaint made by the Petitioner on 18 October 2016.

3. We are not inclined to go into the merits of the complaint
of the Petitioner, however, we do observe that when a complaint is
made by a citizen, it is expected that the Public Authority would take

the complaint to its logical end as early as possible, as feasible within the administrative exigencies.

4. The learned Counsel for the Respondent-Authority submitted that the Respondent-Authority will take necessary steps pursuant to the complaint. Since the complaint is pending for more than a year, it is expected that the Respondent-Authority will initiated steps pursuant to the complaint, within a period of three weeks. It is needless to state any action proposed to be taken by the Respondent-Authority will be as per the procedure, law and principles of natural justice.

5. Keeping all contentions of the parties open, the writ petition is disposed of.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.

ssm