

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**WRIT PETITION NOS.934, 935 & 937 TO 940 OF 2016****WRIT PETITION NO.934 OF 2016**

SHRI. SIDDHARTH LOLESHWAR NIPANIKAR.PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. Jamadar, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO.935 OF 2016**

SHRI. AMOL VINAYAK TELI.PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. Jamadar, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO.937 OF 2016**

SMT. SUMITRA SANTOSH KUMAR.PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Purna Bhandari, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO.938 OF 2016**

SMT. BABITA B. NARULKAR.PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Susan Linhares, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.939 OF 2016

SHRI. RAMDAS S. GAONKAR.

....PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. Jamadar, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.940 OF 2016

SMT. ANITA N. KENAUDEKAR.

....PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF GOA,

THR. ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

Shri P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Purna Bhandari, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 11th January, 2017

P.C.:

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. Shri D. Pangam, the learned Counsel appearing for respondent no.3, upon instructions, states that the respondent no.3 shall reexamine the application for allotment of plot to the petitioner uninfluenced by the impugned decision, in accordance with law.

3. In view of the said statement of the learned Counsel,

nothing survives in the above petitions. All the above petitions stand accordingly disposed off keeping all the contentions of both the parties open.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.
NH

F. M. REIS, J.