

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 1153 OF 2016

SMT. BHARATI SAVALO KALANGUTKAR., ... Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. P. Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Amogh Prabhudessai, Addl. Government Advocate for the
Respondent nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate for the Respondent no. 3.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 13th February, 2017

P.C.

Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the Parties.

2. Shri D. Pangam, learned Counsel for the Respondent no.3, upon instructions, pointed out that the Respondent no.3 shall re-examine the application for allotment of plot to the petitioner uninfluenced by the impugned decision in the above petition in accordance with law within six months from today. It is further submitted that in similar circumstances, the Writ Petitions no.934, 935 & 937 to 940 of 2016 were disposed off by this Court, on the basis of such statement.

3. In view of the above, the statement of Shri D. Pangam,

learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent no.3, upon instructions is accepted. Consequently, the Petition stands disposed off accordingly.

4. Needless to say all the contentions of both the parties on merits are left open.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

arp/*