

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**WRIT PETITION NO. 437 OF 2014****WITH****WRIT PETITION NO.550 OF 2013****WRIT PETITION NO. 437 OF 2014**

Mrs. Lina S. Desai alias
Lavita L. Madgaokar,
major of age,
Laboratory Assistant of
Parse High School run by
Parse Citizens' Education Society,
Parse, Pernem Goa,
Resident of Flat No.204(B),
A-2 Building,
Garden Centre,
Opposite Police Station,
Mapusa Goa.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Goa,
through its Chief Secretary,
with his Office at Secretariat Complex,
Porvorim, Bardez Goa.
2. Director of Education,
Directorate of Education,
Government of Goa,
with his office at Porvorim,
Bardez Goa.
3. Deputy Director of Education (Acad.),
Directorate of Education,

Government of Goa,
with his Office at Porvorim,
Bardez Goa.

4. Headmaster of Parse High School,
with his office at Parsem,
Pernem Goa
Through its General Secretary,
5. Chairman of Parse Citizens' Education Society,
with his office at Parsem,
Pernem Goa.
6. Manager of Parse Citizens' Education Society,
with his office at Parsem,
Pernem Goa.
7. The Zonal Education Officer,
North Education Zone with his office at
Mapusa, Bardez Goa.
8. Mr. N. B. Narvekar,
major,
Inquiry Officer,
c/o Parse High School,
with his office at Parsem,
Pernem Goa.

... Respondents

Mr. Devidas J. Pangam and Mr. P. Sawant, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Dattaprasad Lawande, Advocate General with Mr. A. Prabhudessai, Addl. Government Advocate for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and 7.

Ms. A. Kaisukar, Advocate for the respondent nos. 4 to 6.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.550 OF 2013

Mrs. Lina S. Desai alias
Lavita L. Madgaokar,
major of age,
Laboratory Assistant of
Parse High School
run by Parse Citizens' Education Society,
Parse, Pernem, Goa.
r/o. Building No. G-3,
Ground Floor, Aarti Apartments,
Canca Bandh, Canca, Bardez, Goa. ... Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Goa,
through its Chief Secretary,
with his office at Secretariat Complex,
Porvorim, Bardez, Goa.
2. Director of Education,
Directorate of Education,
Government of Goa,
with his office at
Porvorim, Bardez Goa.
3. Deputy Director of Education (Acad.),
Directorate of Education,
Government of Goa,
with his office at
Porvorim, Bardez Goa.
4. Shri Mohandas G. S. Dessai,
major of age,
Headmaster of Parse High School,
with his office at
Parsem, Pernem Goa.

5. Mr. Ajay S. Kalangutkar,
major of age,
President of Parse Citizens' Education Society,
with his office at
Parsem, Pernem Goa.
6. Shri Dayanand Sopte,
Major of age,
Chairman of Parse Citizens' Education Society,
with his office at
Parsem, Pernem Goa.
7. Shri Harishchandra Naik,
major of age,
General Secretary of Parse Citizens' Education Society,
with his office at
Parsem, Pernem Goa.
8. Mr. Prakash Narayan Kandolkar,
major of age,
Manager of Parse High School,
with his office at
Parsem, Pernem Goa.
9. The Zonal Education Officer,
North Education Zone,
with his office at
Mapusa, Bardez Goa.

... Respondents

Mr. Devidas J. Pangam and Mr. P. Sawant, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Dattaprasad Lawande, Advocate General with Ms. P. Sawant, Addl. Government Advocate for the respondent nos.1, 2, 3 and 9.

Ms. A. Kaisukar, Advocate for the respondent nos. 4, 5, 7 and 8.

**Coram:- F. M. REIS &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, JJ.**

Date:- 21st June, 2017

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per F. M. Reis, J)

Heard Mr. D. Pangam, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Dattaprasad Lawande, learned Advocate General appearing for the State and Ms. A. Kaisukar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos.4 to 6 in Writ Petition No. 437 of 2014 and for the respondent nos. 4, 5, 7 and 8 in Writ Petition No. 550 of 2013.

2. During the course of the hearing of the above petitions, Mr. Pangam, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner points out that in the meanwhile the matters have been amicably settled and the subject charge sheet has been withdrawn. It is further pointed out that the consent terms are duly signed by the petitioner, representative of the respondent no.4 and their respective Advocates.

3. The learned Advocate General has no objection to the settlement arrived at by the parties. The consent terms duly signed and admitted by the parties who are present in Court along with their respective Advocates and marked 'X' for identification.

4. In view of the above, Rule stands disposed of in terms of the consent terms marked 'X' for identification.

5. Both the petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

at*