

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 19 OF 2014

MR. KASHINATH JAIRAM SHETYE

... Petitioner

Versus

SHRI RAJENDRA PRABHUDESAI ANT 5
ORS.,

... Respondents

Petitioner No.1 in person.

Mr. J. Vaz, Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent nos.4
and 5.

Mr. S. R. Rivankar, Public Prosecutor for the respondent no.6.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, JJ.

Date:- 28th July, 2017

P.C.

The petitioner no.1, who appears in person, states that after the impugned order was passed, the police have investigated the complaint and closure report is filed before the learned Magistrate. Thus, according to petitioner no.1, nothing survives in the petition. He, therefore, submits that this matter be disposed of as infructuous.

2. We have also heard the learned Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent nos.4 and 5 and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. In view of the fact that already the matter was investigated and closure report is filed and in view of the statement of the petitioner no.1, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

4. We have not examined the rival contentions of the parties.

PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.
SMA

C. V. BHADANG, J.