

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 120 OF 2017

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 136 OF 2011

MISS. CHANDAN SADASHIV TIRODKAR., ... Applicant
Versus
SMT. KAMALABAI SADASHIV TIRODKAR
AND 17 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. P. Sawant, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Pavithran A. V., Advocate for the Respondents no. 2(a) and 2(B), 4 to 15, 17 and 18.

Coram:- F. M. REIS, J.

Date:- 10th February, 2017

P.C.:

This is an application for speaking to the minutes to record that Mr. D. J. Pangam was appearing on behalf of Respondent No. 16 and not on behalf of Respondent no. 18.

2. Mr. Pavithran A. V., the learned Counsel, appearing for the remaining Respondents no. 2(a) and 2(b), 4 to 15, 17 and 18 does not dispute that Mr. D. J. Pangam was appearing on behalf of Respondent no. 16. Hence, the application is allowed. Typographical error in recording that Mr. D. J. Pangam was appearing on behalf of Respondent 18 stands deleted and is substituted with Respondent no. 16.

3. Application is disposed of accordingly.

F. M. REIS, J.

msr