

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CROSS OBJECTION NO. 6 OF 2006

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 116 OF 2005

1. Shri Tanaji Pal,
of major age, businessman.

2. Mrs. Sudhabai Tanaji Pal
of major age,
w/o Tanaji Pal
both r/o House No.62,
Bordem, Bicholim Goa.

.... Cross Objectors

In

1. Shri Shashikant Y. Pal,
of major age,
Businessman

2. Smt. Sumitra Shashikant Pal,
w/o Shashikant Pal,
both r/o H. No.2519,
Shantaram Nagar,
Bordem, Bicholim Goa.

... Appellants

Versus

1. Shri Tanaji Pal,
of major age,
businessman.

2. Mrs. Sudhabai Tanaji Pal,
of major age,
w/o Tanaji Pal.
both r/o House No.62,
Bordem, Bicholim Goa.

... Respondents

None for the Cross objectors.

Mr. Valmiki Menezes, Advocate for the original appellants/respondents.

Coram:- F. M. REIS, J.

Date:- 20th March, 2017

ORAL ORDER

Heard Mr. V. Menezes, learned counsel appearing for the original appellants.

2. None appeared in support of the Cross Objection filed by the respondents. I have also perused the proposed substantial questions of law therein. Admittedly, the Cross Objection has not been admitted on any substantial questions of law. On perusal of the substantial questions of law, I find that both the Courts have concurrently come to the conclusion that the property belong in co-ownership of the appellants and the respondents having half share each therein. The Courts have also noted that the appellants and the respondents are occupying separate portions of the subject house. In such situation, the proposed substantial questions of law do not

arise at all. Hence, the Cross Objection stands rejected.

F. M. REIS, J.

at*